

PUBLIC RELEASE OF 2019 ELECTION COMMITMENT COSTING

g Kids About Agriculture			
COA004			
The proposal is to provide \$10.0 million to educating Australian kids about agriculture. This includes:			
 up to \$5.0 million to State Farming Bodies to sponsor government, Catholic and independent primary and secondary school visits to farms and other primary production worksites to learn about agriculture production, sustainability practices and farm land stewardship. 			
 up to \$5.0 million to the iFarm initiative for up to 80 urban schools to be provided with 'mini farms': a trailer based integrated educational platform to teach students about the use of water, land and energy in food production. 			
Terminating on 30 June 2022.			
Prime Minister.			
9 May 2019.			
27 April 2019.			
15 May 2019.			
Not applicable.			
Not applicable.			

Financial implications (outturn prices)(b)

· ····ai··o··ai ·····p···oa····o (oa····ai··· p···ooo)						
Impact on	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	
Underlying Cash Balance (\$m)	0.0	-2.0	-4.0	-4.0	0.0	
Fiscal Balance (\$m)	0.0	-2.0	-4.0	-4.0	0.0	

⁽b) A positive number for the fiscal balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in accrual terms. A positive number for the underlying cash balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in cash terms.

⁽a) Ongoing policies continue indefinitely (until a decision is made to cease or alter the program).

Terminating measures end on a date set out in the initial policy and a further decision is required to continue the program beyond this date.

Where relevant, state that the proposal has been costed as a defined or specified amount.

The proposal has been costed as a specified amount.

Where relevant, include separate identification of revenue and expense components.

Not applicable.

Where appropriate, include a range for the costing or sensitivity analysis.

The costing is based on the assumption that the program will be a \$10.0 million capped grants program.

Qualifications to the costing (including reasons for the costing not being comprehensive).

Not applicable.

Where relevant, explain effects of departmental expenses.

Consistent with the costing request, the costing assumes that departmental delivery costs would be absorbed by the Department of Agriculture and Water Resources.

Where relevant, explain the reason for any significant differences between the assumptions specified in a party costing request and those used in a Treasury or Finance costing.

Not applicable.

Other comments (including reasons for significant differences between the estimated impact on the fiscal and underlying cash balances).

Where relevant, include an explanation of the medium term implications of the proposal^(c).

Not applicable.

Background information

Costing methodology used:

Not applicable.

Behavioural assumptions used (as appropriate)

Not applicable.